

Course:	ETEC 510 65D - Design of Technology- Supported Learning Environments	Department:	ETEC
Responsible Faculty:	Sunah Cho	Responses / Expected:	13 / 17 (76.47%)
Overall Mean:	6.4 7-Point Likert with No opinion (371 responses) 4.6 5-Point Likert Scale with N/A (UMI) (78 responses) 1.9 Very Poor to Excellent - EDUC (13 responses)		

Education Questions	Cho, Sunah												--- Survey Comparisons ---					
	Responses							Individual					ETEC			All		
	E	VG	G	A	LTA	P	VP	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	N	Mean	Pct Rnk	N	Mean	Pct Rnk
Q1 Overall I rate this course as:	5	4	4	0	0	0	0	13	1.9	2	1	.83	190	2.2	38	190	2.2	38

Responses: [E] Excellent=1 [VG] Very Good=2 [G] Good=3 [A] Adequate=4 [LTA] Less Than Adequate=5 [P] Poor=6 [VP] Very Poor=7
Pct Rnk: Percentile Rank (100 is best, calculated vs. precise Mean)

Education Questions	Cho, Sunah												--- Survey Comparisons ---					
	Responses							Individual					ETEC			All		
	DVS	D	DS	N	AS	A	AVS	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	N	Mean	Pct Rnk	N	Mean	Pct Rnk
Q2 Overall, I learned a great deal in this course.	0	0	0	0	2	4	7	13	6.4	7	7	.74	190	6.0	81	190	6.0	81
Q3 The instructor demonstrated a comprehensive knowledge of the subject.	0	0	0	0	1	5	7	13	6.5	7	7	.63	189	6.2	78	189	6.2	78
Q4 Course objectives were clearly outlined.	0	0	0	0	0	6	7	13	6.5	7	7	.50	190	5.8	97	190	5.8	97
Q5 Assignments were useful learning experiences.	0	0	0	0	2	4	7	13	6.4	7	7	.74	190	6.0	72	190	6.0	72
Q6 The course challenged me intellectually.	0	0	0	0	3	2	8	13	6.4	7	7	.84	190	6.0	69	190	6.0	69
Q7 The course was well-organized.	0	0	0	0	0	7	6	13	6.5	6	6	.50	189	5.5	84	189	5.5	84
Q8 The instructor encouraged student participation.	0	0	1	0	0	6	6	13	6.2	6	6,7	1.05	188	6.1	47	188	6.1	47
Q9 The instructor demonstrated a tolerance for other points of view.	0	0	0	0	0	5	8	13	6.6	7	7	.49	185	6.1	97	185	6.1	97
Q10 I would recommend this course to a friend.	0	0	0	0	1	8	4	13	6.2	6	6	.58	188	5.7	84	188	5.7	84
Q11 I felt like I was a part of a learning community.	0	0	0	1	1	5	6	13	6.2	6	7	.89	190	5.9	66	190	5.9	66
Q12 Assignments were given at reasonable intervals in the course.	0	0	0	0	1	4	8	13	6.5	7	7	.63	190	6.1	78	190	6.1	78
Q13 The instructor was accessible.	0	0	0	0	0	2	11	13	6.8	7	7	.36	189	6.4	97	189	6.4	97
Q14 Course material was relevant to course objectives.	0	0	0	0	0	6	7	13	6.5	7	7	.50	190	6.1	91	190	6.1	91
Q15 Feedback on assignments was useful.	0	0	0	0	0	5	8	13	6.6	7	7	.49	189	5.6	97	189	5.6	97
Q16 The instructor was helpful in moderating class discussions.	0	0	0	2	2	4	5	13	5.9	6	7	1.07	186	5.6	72	186	5.6	72
Q17 The basis for student evaluation was made clear.	0	0	0	0	0	5	8	13	6.6	7	7	.49	189	5.8	97	189	5.8	97
Q18 I was challenged to think critically about the course material.	0	0	0	0	1	5	7	13	6.5	7	7	.63	188	6.1	97	188	6.1	97
Q19 Feedback on assignments was given in a timely manner.	0	0	0	0	1	5	7	13	6.5	7	7	.63	187	6.1	72	187	6.1	72
Q20 The instructor used the technology effectively in course delivery.	0	0	0	0	2	5	6	13	6.3	6	7	.72	190	5.9	75	190	5.9	75
Q21 I believe the amount of work required for this course was reasonable.	0	0	0	0	0	8	5	13	6.4	6	6	.49	190	5.7	97	190	5.7	97
Q22 Discussion forums helped me to understand course content.	0	0	0	0	1	5	7	13	6.5	7	7	.63	188	5.9	97	188	5.9	97
Q23 There were sufficient on-line opportunities to interact with other students.	0	0	0	0	1	5	7	13	6.5	7	7	.63	189	6.2	72	189	6.2	72
Q24 My questions were answered within a reasonable time frame.	0	0	0	0	0	2	11	13	6.8	7	7	.36	185	6.3	97	185	6.3	97
Q25 Directions to register on-line were clear.	0	0	0	0	1	6	6	13	6.4	6	6,7	.62	170	6.2	81	170	6.2	81
Q26 I was able to access the materials I needed from the library service.	0	0	0	0	1	7	5	13	6.3	6	6	.61	169	5.9	84	169	5.9	84
Q27 My experience with support service personnel was positive.	0	0	0	1	1	1	4	7	6.1	7	7	1.12	83	6.0	59	83	6.0	59
Q28 The procedures for participating on-line were clear.	0	0	0	0	1	6	6	13	6.4	6	6,7	.62	190	6.1	78	190	6.1	78
Q29 Course interface was easy to navigate.	0	0	0	0	2	7	4	13	6.2	6	6	.66	190	5.7	78	190	5.7	78
Q30 Technical problems with the Website did not interfere with the course.	0	0	1	0	1	7	4	13	6.0	6	6	1.04	180	5.7	69	180	5.7	69

Responses: [DVS] Disagree Very Strongly=1 [D] Disagree=2 [DS] Disagree Somewhat=3 [N] Neutral=4 [AS] Agree Somewhat=5 [A] Agree=6 [AVS] Agree Very Strongly=7
Pct Rnk: Percentile Rank (100 is best, calculated vs. precise Mean)

Q31 - Please provide any comments you may have about the course.
Faculty: Cho, Sunah
Response Rate: 46.15% (6 of 13)
1 This course combined two different sections. While this was good because it gave us more options for people to work with on our group project, it also meant a lot more discussion posts. I found this a bit overwhelming, especially the pressure to keep up with the conversation. This was my fourth MET course and I like the opportunity to discuss with my classmates and find it very valuable, but this course was a bit too pressure packed in that regard, especially considering there were stricter timelimits on when to make original posts and when to respond to posts.

	<p>There were ongoing issues with the chat tool.</p> <p>I found the discussion topics to be very prescriptive. Although the instructors made available an alternative discussion area for each module, I still felt as though I had to address the exact questions posed. It didn't seem very flexible in that respect, and I think that it sometimes made the discussions a little stiff (and a little boring).</p> <p>The design project was a good experience, but I would suggest allowing students the choice of working in pairs or groups. I found it extremely difficult to be productive with my group. I think that I could have accomplished more by working with one partner. I would also suggest that the initial proposal be shortened a little (or be submitted in point form) as it was very high-pressure to get it done at such an early point in the course. It seemed a big rush to get a topic, form a group, delegate tasks and get the report done within a month timeframe.</p> <p>I found the instructors very helpful and approachable.</p> <p>I really enjoyed the wiki assignment - it was a powerful learning experience, and really linked theory with practice.</p> <p>Navigation comment - I had a hard time finding the course calendar and list of readings. The readings were listed within the course introduction, but I would have found it helpful to provide its own link on the front page. Its something I looked for often. I would also love to see a link from the course calendar discussion topics to the actual description of that discussion topic. This would be a big time-saver.</p>
3	<p>Overall the course was good! I think Sunah did a good job!. However, I just want to mention that to my opinion, Sunah has used so many expressions in first person to give some feedback.</p> <p>I just loved this course!!!</p>
4	<p>I learned so much!!!</p> <p>I am very very happy about this course!!!</p>
5	<p>I found the course challenging and interesting. I struggled with choosing a topic so early on which was my only difficulty with the course.</p>
6	<p>I appreciated the occasional lecture or talk that could be accessed by video. It would have been nice to have the discussion forum in such away that we were not always typing but maybe recording our thoughts or in real time.</p>

Category Instructions: Based on a 5-point scale, where 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree and 5 = Strongly Agree, please rate your instructor on the following:

University Module	Cho, Sunah										--- Survey Comparisons ---					
	Responses					Individual					ETEC			All		
	SD	D	N	A	SA	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	N	Mean	Pct Rnk	N	Mean	Pct Rnk
Q32	0	0	0	4	9	13	4.7	5	5	.46	189	4.2	97	189	4.2	97
Q33	0	0	0	4	9	13	4.7	5	5	.46	189	4.1	91	189	4.1	91
Q34	0	0	1	5	7	13	4.5	5	5	.63	189	4.0	91	189	4.0	91
Q35	0	0	0	5	8	13	4.6	5	5	.49	187	4.2	97	187	4.2	97
Q36	0	0	0	5	8	13	4.6	5	5	.49	190	4.2	97	190	4.2	97
Q37	0	0	0	5	8	13	4.6	5	5	.49	189	4.2	91	189	4.2	91

Responses: [SD] Strongly Disagree=1 [D] Disagree=2 [N] Neutral=3 [A] Agree=4 [SA] Strongly Agree=5
Pct Rnk: Percentile Rank (100 is best, calculated vs. precise Mean)